

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/925,439 08/10/2001 N9450.0024/P024 4109 Yasuharu Kitakami **EXAMINER** 24998 7590 09/03/2004 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP CHEN, TIANJIE 2101 L STREET NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526 2652 DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/925,439	KITAKAMI, YASUHARU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tianjie Chen	2652
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 May 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2652

Non-Final Rejection (RCE)

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/28/2004 has been entered. Claims 1-3 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kurosu (US Re 37,170E).

With regard to claims 2 and 3, Kurosu shows a disk reproducing apparatus including a main body 1 (Figs. 4-7) having a front panel; a frame 7 (Fig. 7) which is pushed out from and drawn into the main body through the front panel (Fig. 5); a disk tray 16 (Fig. 7) which is rotatably attached to the

Art Unit: 2652

frame; an optical pickup unit 11+47 reproducing and recording data from or in a disc, the pickup unit includes a turntable 47 (Column 11, line 17); wherein when the tray is drawn into the main body, the optical pickup unit is located an the main body with an angle about 45 degrees, which falls within an angle range of 36 degrees to 108/90 degrees, the angle being formed by a line drawn from the rotation center of the disc tray to a rotation center of the turntable in a reproducing position of the optical pickup unit, and a line drawn horizontally from the rotation center of the disc tray to a midpoint of the front panel of the main body (Figs. 5-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US 6,400,669) in view of Kurosu.

With regard to claim 1, Lee shows a disc reproducing apparatus in Fig. 14 including: a main body 200 a frame 120 which is pushed out and drawn into the main body through a front panel; an optical pickup unit 124 (Column 5, line 38) for reproducing or recording data from or in a disc; wherein an optical pickup portion of the optical pickup unit is located between a rotation center and the front panel of the main body as the frame is drawn into the main body for reproducing or recording data from or in the disc.

Art Unit: 2652

Lee does not show a disc tray rotatably attached to the frame.

Kurosu shows a reproducing apparatus in Fig. 7, wherein a disc tray 16 is rotatably attached to frame 7.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the tray taught by Kurosu into Lee's device. The rationale is as follows: Kurosu teaches that onto his tray recording medium discs such as compact discs, laser discs, or the like can be set (Column 1, lines 19-23). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add the disc tray to accommodate multiple discs. In such constructed device, the optical pickup unit would be located between a rotation center of the tray and the front panel of the main body as the frame is drawn into the main body for reproducing or recording data from or in the disc.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Locating an optical pickup unit between a rotating center and the front panel is a notorious and old technique. The references listed in PTO-892 Form all show this feature.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record in PTO-892 Form and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number

Art Unit: 2652

is (703) 305-7499. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIANJIE CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER